| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.               | Applicant(s)               |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
|  | 10/647,309                    | HIDAKA ET AL.              |
|  | Examiner                      | Art Unit                   |
|  | Thomas A. Morrison            | 3653                       |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:        |                            |
| (1) <u>Thomas A. Morrison</u> .  | (3)                           |                            |
| (2) Mr. Edward Tracy (Reg. No. 47,998).  | (4)                           |                            |
| Date of Interview: 15 September 2006   | Time:                         |                            |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   |                               |                            |
| Part I.  |                               |                            |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  None  | •                             |                            |
| Claims discussed: 13-14 and 26   | •                             |                            |
| Prior art documents discussed:  None   |                               |                            |
| Part II.   | •                             |                            |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet   | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS        | S DISCUSSED:               |
| Part III.  |                               | •                          |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                               |                            |
| M  |                               | ·                          |
| PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER   |                               |                            |
| TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600   |                               |                            |
| Thomas Monin   |                               | -                          |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant  | /Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Tracy (applicant's representative) on 9/15/2006 to get permission to cancel withdrawn claims 13-14 and 26 directed to a non-elected embodiment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Tracy approved the cancelation of claims 13-14 and 26, as per the attached examiner's amendment.